

Non-classical radiation emission by a coherent conductor

Jean-Charles Forgues,^{1,*} Christian Lupien,^{1,†} and Bertrand Reulet^{1,‡}

¹*Département de Physique, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada, J1K 2R1*

(Dated: January 2, 2017)

We report experimental evidence that the microwave electromagnetic field generated by a normal conductor, here a tunnel junction placed at ultra-low temperature, can be non-classical. By measuring the quadratures of the electromagnetic field at one or two frequencies in the GHz range, we demonstrate the existence of squeezing as well as entanglement in such radiation. In one experiment, we observe that the variance of one quadrature of the photo-assisted noise generated by the junction goes below its vacuum level. In the second experiment, we demonstrate the existence of correlations between the quadratures taken at two frequencies, which can be stronger than allowed by classical mechanics, proving that the radiation at those two frequencies are entangled. *To cite this article: J.-C. Forgues, G. Gasse, C. Lupien, B. Reulet, C. R. Physique 6 (2016).* (Inspired from previous works/Inspiré de travaux antérieurs [1, 2])

Rayonnement non classique émis par un conducteur cohérent Nous rapportons des preuves expérimentales que le champ électromagnétique micro-ondes généré par un conducteur normal, une jonction tunnel placée à ultra-basse température, peut avoir un comportement non-classique. Nous démontrons l'existence de compression d'état ainsi que d'enchevêtrement dans cette radiation en mesurant les quadratures du champ électromagnétique à une ou deux fréquences de l'ordre du GHz. Dans une expérience, nous observons que la variance d'une quadrature du bruit photo-assisté généré par la jonction descend sous son niveau du vide. Dans une deuxième expérience, nous démontrons l'existence de corrélations entre les quadratures observées à deux fréquences, corrélations qui peuvent être supérieures à ce qui est permis par la mécanique classique, prouvant que la radiation à ces deux fréquences est enchevêtrée. *Pour citer cet article : J.-C. Forgues, G. Gasse, C. Lupien, B. Reulet, C. R. Physique 6 (2016).*

Keywords : Quantum Microwaves; Entanglement; Squeezing; Quantum Noise; Shot Noise; Tunnel Junction

Mots-clés : Micro-ondes quantiques ; Enchevêtrement ; Compression d'état ; Bruit Quantique ; Bruit de grenaille ; Jonction tunnel

INTRODUCTION

A great effort is currently deployed to find sources of quantum light. A light with properties beyond that of classical physics is indeed essential to the development of quantum information technology [3, 4] and has direct applications in metrology [5]. Quantum light can be non-classical in several ways. Squeezed light offers the possibility of observing fluctuations lower than that of vacuum along one quadrature: the rms fluctuations ΔX^2 of the (in phase) amplitude of $X \cos(2\pi f_1 t)$ can be smaller than that of vacuum at the expense of an increase of the rms fluctuations ΔP^2 of the (quadrature) amplitude of $P \sin(2\pi f_1 t)$; this is necessary in order to preserve Heisenberg's uncertainty principle (for a review on squeezing, see [6–8]). Two-mode squeezed light refers to the existence of correlations between the quadratures of the electromagnetic field taken at two different frequencies f_1 and f_2 that go beyond what is allowed by classical mechanics[2]. A strong enough two-mode squeezing can lead to entanglement between the two frequencies[9].

Many systems have been devised to produce squeezed light, based for example on non-linear crystals, atomic transitions and non-linear cavities in optics [10], but also with parametric amplifiers and qubits in the microwave domain [11–14]. The key ingredient in all these systems

is the existence of a nonlinearity, which allows the mixing of vacuum fluctuations with the classical, large field of a coherent pump. Here we use the discreteness of the electron charge e as a source of non-linearity: A tunnel junction (two metallic contacts separated by a thin insulating layer) has *linear* $I(V)$ characteristics at low voltage and thus cannot be used as a non-linear element to mix signals. There is no photo-assisted dc transport, i.e. no rectification. However, electrical current $I(t)$ flowing in a conductor always fluctuates in time, a phenomenon usually referred to as “electrical noise”. Interestingly, this noise can be *non-linear* as a function of voltage, even if the $I(V)$ characteristics itself is linear.

While the dc current corresponds to the average $\langle I(t) \rangle$, current fluctuations are characterized by their statistical properties such as their second order correlator $\langle I(t) I(t') \rangle$ or, in frequency space, the noise spectral density $S(f) = \langle |I(f)|^2 \rangle$ where $I(f)$ is the Fourier component of the current at frequency f . Here the brackets $\langle \dots \rangle$ represent the statistical average. The tunnel junction, as well as most coherent conductors, exhibits shot noise: the variance ΔI^2 of the current fluctuations generated by the junction depends on the bias voltage. For example, at low frequency and high current, the noise spectral density is given by $S(f_1 = 0) = e |I|$ (for a review

on shot noise in mesoscopic conductors, see [15, 16]), a strongly non-linear function. When under ac excitation, the junction exhibits photo-assisted noise [17–19] as well as a dynamical modulation of its noise [20, 21]. We use this modulation of the *intrinsic* noise of the junction by an external ac excitation to generate squeezing.

An alternate approach is to consider that the time-dependent current fluctuations in the sample generate a random electromagnetic field that propagates along the electrical wires. Both these descriptions are equivalent. For example, the equilibrium current fluctuations (Johnson-Nyquist noise [22, 23]) correspond to the black-body radiation in one dimension [24]. More precisely, the power radiated by a sample at frequency f in a cable is proportional to the spectral density $S(f)$ of current fluctuations which, at high temperature and at equilibrium (i.e. with no bias), is given by $S(hf \ll k_B T) = 2k_B T/R$ where T is the temperature and R the electrical resistance of the sample [25].

In short samples at very low temperatures, electrons obey quantum mechanics. Thus, electron transport can no longer be modeled by a time-dependent, classical number $I(t)$, but needs to be described by an operator $\hat{I}(t)$. Current fluctuations are characterized by correlators such as $\langle \hat{I}(t) \hat{I}(t') \rangle$. Quantum predictions differ from classical ones only when the energy hf associated with the electromagnetic field is comparable with energies associated with the temperature $k_B T$ and the voltage eV . Hence for $hf \gg k_B T, eV$, the thermal energy $k_B T$ in the expression of $S(f)$ has to be replaced by that of vacuum fluctuations, $hf/2$. Some general link between the statistics of current fluctuations and that of the detected electromagnetic field is required beyond the correspondence between spectral density of current fluctuations and radiated power [26–30]. In particular, since the statistics of current fluctuations can be tailored by engineering the shape of the time-dependent bias voltage [31], it is possible to induce non-classical correlations in the electromagnetic field generated by a quantum conductor. For example, an ac bias at frequency f_0 generates correlations between current fluctuations at frequencies f_1 and f_2 , i.e. $\langle \hat{I}(f_1) \hat{I}(f_2) \rangle \neq 0$, if $f_1 \pm f_2 = n f_0$ with n , an integer [20, 21, 32]. This is responsible for the existence of correlated power fluctuations [33] and for the emission of photon pairs [34] recently observed. For $f_1 = f_2$, $\langle \hat{I}^2(f_1) \rangle \neq 0$ leads to vacuum squeezing.

Entanglement of photons of different frequencies has already been observed in superconducting devices engineered for that purpose in Refs. [14, 35, 36], where frequencies f_1 and f_2 are fixed by resonators and the entanglement comes from a non-linear element, a Josephson junction. What we show here is that a quantum conductor excited at frequency f_0 can emit entangled radiation at *any* pair of frequencies f_1, f_2 such that $f_0 = f_1 + f_2$.

This property is demonstrated using a tunnel junction but our results clearly stand for any device that exhibits quantum shot noise. The key ingredient for the appearance of entanglement is the following: noise at any frequency f_1 modulated by an ac voltage at frequency f_0 gives rise to sidebands with a well-defined phase. These sidebands, located at frequencies $\pm f_1 \pm n f_0$ with n , an integer, are correlated with the current fluctuations at frequency f_0 . The particular case $f_2 = -f_1 + f_0$ we study here corresponds to the maximum correlation.

In this article, we report two measurements that exhibit quantum properties of the electromagnetic field generated by a tunnel junction under ac excitation. First, we use an excitation at frequency $f_0 = f_1$ or $f_0 = 2f_1$ to induce a non-zero correlator $\langle \hat{I}(f_1)^2 \rangle$, responsible for the existence of radiation squeezing at frequency f_1 [1, 37]. Second, we induce correlations between electromagnetic field quadratures at two frequencies f_1 and f_2 by irradiating the sample at frequency $f_0 = f_1 + f_2$. By analyzing these correlations, we show that the electromagnetic field produced by electronic shot noise can be described in a way similar to an Einstein-Podolski-Rosen (EPR) photon pair: when measuring fluctuations at only one frequency, i.e. one mode of the electromagnetic field, no quadrature is preferred. But when measuring two-modes, we observe strong correlations between identical quadratures. These correlations are stronger than what is allowed by classical mechanics as proven by their violation of Bell-like inequalities.

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP [38]

In Fig. 1, we use a $R = 70 \, \Omega$ Al/Al₂O₃/Al tunnel junction in the presence of a magnetic field to insure that the aluminium remains a normal metal at all temperatures. It is cooled down to ~ 18 mK in a dilution refrigerator. A triplexer connected to the junction separates the frequency spectrum in three bands corresponding to the dc bias (< 4 GHz), the ac bias at frequency f_0 (> 8 GHz) and the detection band (4–8 GHz). Low-pass filters are used to minimize the parasitic noise coming down the dc bias line and attenuators are placed on the ac bias line to dampen noise generated by room-temperature electronics. The signal generated by the junction in the 4–8 GHz range goes through two circulators, used to isolate the sample from the amplification line noise, and is then amplified by a high electron mobility transistor amplifier placed at 3 K.

At room temperature, the signal is separated in two branches, each entering an IQ mixer. One mixer is referenced to a local oscillator at frequency f_1 and the other at frequency $f_2 = f_0 - f_1$; for single-mode squeezing experiments, only one IQ mixer is used. All three microwave sources at frequencies f_0, f_1 and f_2 are phase coherent.




FIG. 2: (colour online) $\Delta\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(A, B)_{V_{dc}, V_{ac}, f_0} - \mathcal{P}(A, B)_{0,0}$ (unitless): Difference between the normalized 2D current fluctuation distributions of the tunnel junction at $f_1 = 7$ GHz, $V_{dc} = -26.6 \mu\text{V} \simeq hf_1/e$, 18 mK, under $f_0 = 14$ GHz, $V_{ac} = 37 \mu\text{V}$ microwave excitation and without excitation at $V_{dc} = 0$. A and B represent combinations of quadratures X_1 and P_1 of the observed signal (see text for details). Each distribution is made up of 2×10^{11} samples. Data was normalized so that the integral of \mathcal{P} equals 1./ (sans unités) Différence entre les distributions bidimensionnelles normalisées des fluctuations de courant de la jonction tunnel à $f_1 = 7$ GHz, $V_{dc} = -26,6 \mu\text{V} \simeq hf_1/e$, 18 mK, sous excitation micro-ondes de $f_0 = 14$ GHz, $V_{ac} = 37 \mu\text{V}$ et sans excitation à $V_{dc} = 0$. A et B représentent des combinaisons des quadratures X_1 et P_1 du signal observé (voir le texte pour les détails). Chaque distribution est constituée de 2×10^{11} échantillons. Les données ont été normalisées afin que l'intégrale de \mathcal{P} égale 1.

SINGLE-MODE SQUEEZING [44]

Theory

We measure the amplitudes X and P of the two quadratures of the electromagnetic field generated by the tunnel junction at a given frequency f_1 or f_2 [49]. According to recent work[29], the corresponding quantum operators \hat{X} and \hat{P} are related to the electron current operator at frequency f , $\hat{I}(f)$ by:

$$\hat{X} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\hat{I}(f) + \hat{I}^\dagger(f) \right), \quad \hat{P} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\hat{I}(f) - \hat{I}^\dagger(f) \right) \quad (2)$$

with $\hat{X}^\dagger = \hat{X}$, $\hat{P}^\dagger = \hat{P}$ and $\hat{I}^\dagger(f) = \hat{I}(-f)$. This allows us to relate the quadratures to properties of the elec-

trons crossing the junction. The average commutator of those two observables $\langle [\hat{X}, \hat{P}] \rangle = i \langle [\hat{I}(f), \hat{I}(-f)] \rangle = iS_{\text{vacuum}}(f)$ is non-zero, so uncertainties in the measurement of X and P obey Heisenberg's uncertainty principle: $\Delta X^2 \Delta P^2 \geq S_{\text{vacuum}}^2$ with $\Delta O^2 = \langle (\hat{O} - \langle \hat{O} \rangle)^2 \rangle$ for $\hat{O} = \hat{X}, \hat{P}$. The following computations are made for




FIG. 3: (colour online) $\Delta\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(A, B)_{V_{dc}, V_{ac}, f_0} - \mathcal{P}(A, B)_{0,0}$ (unitless): (a)-(d) Difference between the normalized 2D current fluctuation distributions of the tunnel junction at $V_{dc} = 29.4 \mu\text{V}$, 18 mK, under $f_0 = 14.5$ GHz, $V_{ac} = 37 \mu\text{V}$ microwave excitation and without excitation at $V_{dc} = 0$. X and P represent 2 quadratures of the observed signal while numbers 1 and 2 represent fluctuations of frequency 7 GHz and 7.5 GHz in arbitrary units. Each distribution is made up of 2×10^{11} samples. Data was normalized so that the integral of \mathcal{P} equals 1./ (sans unités) (a)-(d) Différence entre les distributions bidimensionnelles normalisées des fluctuations de courant de la jonction tunnel à $V_{dc} = 29,4 \mu\text{V}$, 18 mK, sous excitation micro-ondes de $f_0 = 14,5$ GHz, $V_{ac} = 37 \mu\text{V}$ et sans excitation à $V_{dc} = 0$. X et P représentent deux quadratures du signal observé tandis que les indices 1 et 2 représentent les fluctuations de fréquences 7 GHz et 7,5 GHz en unités arbitraires. Chaque distribution est constituée de 2×10^{11} échantillons. Les données ont été normalisées afin que l'intégrale de \mathcal{P} égale 1. Reprinted figure with permission from J.-C. Forgues, C. Lupien, B. Reulet, Physical Review Letters, 114, 130403, 2015. ©2015 by the American Physical Society.

$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = 0$, meaning $\Delta O^2 = \langle \hat{O}^2 \rangle$. These variances are related to current-current correlators:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta X^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \{ \hat{I}(f), \hat{I}(-f) \} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left[\langle I(f)^2 \rangle + \langle I(-f)^2 \rangle \right] = \tilde{S} + \mathcal{X} \\ \Delta P^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \{ \hat{I}(f), \hat{I}(-f) \} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left[\langle I(f)^2 \rangle + \langle I(-f)^2 \rangle \right] = \tilde{S} - \mathcal{X}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the anti-commutator $\tilde{S} = \frac{\langle \{\hat{I}(f), \hat{I}(-f)\} \rangle}{2}$ is the usual noise and $\mathcal{X} = \frac{\langle \hat{I}(f)^2 \rangle + \langle \hat{I}(-f)^2 \rangle}{2}$ the correlator describing the noise dynamics, studied in [20, 21], which is non-zero only if $2f = mf_0$ with m , an integer. When $V_{ac} = 0$, $\mathcal{X} = 0$ and $\Delta X^2 = \Delta P^2 = \tilde{S}$, which corresponds to S_{vacuum} at $V_{dc} = 0$. The condition for single-mode squeezing is thus $\tilde{S} \pm \mathcal{X} < S_{\text{vacuum}}$. \tilde{S} is given by Eq. (1) while

$$\mathcal{X} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{X}_m(f, f_0) + \mathcal{X}_{-m}(-f, f_0)) \quad (4)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_m(f, f_0) &= \langle \hat{I}(f) \hat{I}(mf_0 - f) \rangle \\ &= \sum_n \frac{\alpha_n}{2} [S_0(f_{n+}) + (-1)^m S_0(f_{n-})], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

using $\alpha_n = J_n(eV_{ac}/hf_0) J_{n+m}(eV_{ac}/hf_0)$ and $f_{n\pm} = V_{dc} \pm h(f + nf_0)/e$. The sum here is due to the interference which occurs when n photons are absorbed and $n + m$ are emitted or vice-versa. Each of these absorptions and emissions are weighted by the α_n amplitudes. This is the basis for all correlator theoretical predictions presented here [20, 21, 32]. To simplify the discussion, we introduce here the dimensionless operators

$$\hat{x} = \frac{\hat{X}}{\sqrt{2hf/R}}, \quad \hat{p} = \frac{\hat{P}}{\sqrt{2hf/R}}, \quad (6)$$

chosen so that $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i$. Thus, vacuum fluctuations correspond to $\langle x^2 \rangle = \langle p^2 \rangle = 1/2$, the Heisenberg uncertainty relation to $\langle x^2 \rangle \langle p^2 \rangle \geq 1/4$ and squeezing to $\langle x^2 \rangle < 1/2$ or $\langle p^2 \rangle < 1/2$. It follows that $\langle x^2 + p^2 \rangle / 2 = \tilde{S}/S_{\text{vacuum}}$.

Experimental Results

We first consider the noise measured when the junction is not under ac excitation. In this case, nothing sets an absolute phase in the measurement, so $\Delta X^2 = \Delta P^2$, as shown on Figs. 4 and 5 (black circles). This experiment is equivalent to the usual variance measurement of the sample current fluctuations using a power detector, i.e. $\langle X^2 \rangle = \Delta X^2 = \langle P^2 \rangle = \Delta P^2 = G(S_{amp} + S(V_{dc}, f_1))$ with G the gain of the setup, S_{amp} the current noise spectral density of the amplifier and $S(V_{dc}, f_1)$ the noise spectral density. $S(V_{dc}, f_1)$ is constant as long as $eV < hf_1$, as showcased by the wide plateau around $V_{dc} = 0$ on Figs. 4 and 5.

We now turn to the case where excitation and detection are synchronized, i.e. we perform phase sensitive noise measurements. Eqs. 3–5 can be used as theoretical




FIG. 4: (colour online) Rescaled (unitless) variances of the EM field generated by a $70 \, \Omega$ tunnel junction at 18 mK under $f_0 = 14$ GHz, $V_{ac} = 37 \, \mu\text{V}$ microwave excitation and without excitation, obtained using signal quadratures at frequency $f_1 = 7$ GHz. Symbols represent experimental data, with symbol sizes representing experimental uncertainty, and lines are theoretical expectations of Eqs. 1 and 4. The shaded area showcases the less-than-vacuum noise levels. /Variances remises à l'échelle (sans unités) du champ électromagnétique généré par une jonction tunnel de $70 \, \Omega$ sous excitation micro-ondes de $f_0 = 14$ GHz, $V_{ac} = 37 \, \mu\text{V}$ et sans excitation, obtenues à partir des quadratures de signal à la fréquence $f_1 = 7$ GHz. Les symboles représentent les résultats expérimentaux et les lignes, les attentes théoriques des Éqs. 1 et 4. La zone en dégradé indique les niveaux de bruit inférieurs au niveau du vide.

predictions for all correlators. The corresponding values are rescaled and represented as black lines along with rescaled experimental results on Fig. 4 for an excitation at frequency $f_0 = 2f_1$ and on Fig. 5 for $f_0 = f_1$. As expected, $\langle x^2 + p^2 \rangle / 2$ correspond to the photo-assisted shot noise introduced in Eq. 1. This matches perfectly with experimental data (red squares on Figs. 4 and 5).

The optimal conditions for the observation of squeezing are very different for $m = 1$ ($f_0 = 2f_1$, which corresponds to four-wave mixing) and $m = 2$ ($f_0 = f_1$, which corresponds to three-wave mixing). These can be easily understood at $T = 0$, when \tilde{S} is a piecewise linear function. For $m = 1$, \tilde{S} is independent of V_{dc} as long as $V_{dc} < hf$, while \mathcal{X} is a linear function of V_{dc} . As a result, the optimal V_{dc} is hf_1/e (see Fig. 4). We find that the maximal squeezing at $T = 0$, $m = 1$ corresponds to $\Delta X^2 = 0.62 S_{\text{vacuum}}$, i.e. 2.09 dB below vacuum. This corresponds to four-wave mixing. For $m = 2$, \tilde{S} is minimal at $V_{dc} = 0$ and increases as $|V_{dc}|$ while \mathcal{X} is maximal at $V_{dc} = 0$ and decreases following $-|V_{dc}|$. Thus the optimal squeezing occurs at $V_{dc} = 0$. We find that the maximal squeezing at $T = 0$, $m = 2$ corresponds to $\Delta X^2 = 0.73 S_{\text{vacuum}}$, i.e. 1.37 dB below vacuum, see Fig. 5. These results are independent of f_1 at zero temperature.

In both cases, agreement between theory and experi-




FIG. 5: (colour online) Rescaled (unitless) variances of the EM field generated by a $70\ \Omega$ tunnel junction at 28 mK under $f_0 = 7.2$ GHz, $V_{ac} = 36\ \mu\text{V}$ microwave excitation and without excitation, obtained using signal quadratures at frequency $f_1 \simeq 7.2$ GHz. Symbols represent experimental data and lines are theoretical expectations of Eqs. 1 and 4. The shaded area showcases the less-than-vacuum noise levels. Data adapted from Ref. [1], Fig. 3. /Variances remises à l'échelle (sans unités) du champ électromagnétique généré par une jonction tunnel de $70\ \Omega$ à 28 mK sous excitation micro-ondes de $f_0 = 7,2$ GHz, $V_{ac} = 36\ \mu\text{V}$ et sans excitation, obtenues à partir des quadratures de signal à la fréquence $f_1 \simeq 7,2$ GHz. Les symboles représentent les résultats expérimentaux et les lignes, les attentes théoriques des Éqs. 1 et 4. La zone en dégradé indique les niveaux de bruit inférieurs au niveau du vide. Données adaptées de la Fig. 3 de la Réf. [1].

ment is very good. There is a range of V_{dc} where one quadrature is below the plateau corresponding to vacuum fluctuations: this corresponds to squeezing of the electromagnetic field generated by the junction. We have performed such measurements for many excitation powers. The optimal squeezing for $f_0 = 2f_1$ (Fig. 4) is found to occur at $V_{dc} \simeq hf_1/e$ and corresponds to 0.74 times vacuum fluctuations, i.e. 1.31 dB below vacuum. The optimal squeezing for $f_0 = f_1$ (Fig. 5) occurs at $V_{dc} = 0$ and corresponds to 0.82 times vacuum fluctuations, i.e. 0.86 dB below vacuum.

The existence of such squeezing has been predicted recently [37] and is a particular case of a Cauchy-Schwarz inequality violation by electronic quantum noise. It is remarkable to note that the squeezing comes from the \mathcal{X} term, which reflects the modulation of the shot noise by a time-dependent voltage. The origin of this term is in the shot noise itself, which comes from the granularity of the charge.

TWO-MODE SQUEEZING

Theory

While single-mode squeezing can be simply defined using the two dimensionless operators of Eq. 6, two-mode squeezing requires a slightly more complex description. We work here exclusively at $m = 1$, $f_0 = f_1 + f_2$. Since the quadratures are studied at different frequencies, no single-mode squeezing should be observed. Therefore, $\langle \hat{I}^2(f_k) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle X_k^2 \rangle = \langle P_k^2 \rangle = \tilde{S}(f_k)$. Therefore, new operators are needed that combine those of Eq. 6 for squeezing to be observed. These are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u} &= \frac{\hat{x}_1 - \hat{x}_2}{\sqrt{2}}; & \hat{u}' &= \frac{\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2}{\sqrt{2}}; \\ \hat{v} &= \frac{\hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2}{\sqrt{2}}; & \hat{v}' &= \frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

These new operators redefine squeezing as the possibility of either $\langle \hat{u}^2 \rangle$, $\langle \hat{v}^2 \rangle$, $\langle \hat{u}'^2 \rangle$ or $\langle \hat{v}'^2 \rangle$ going below vacuum fluctuation levels. As will be shown on Fig. 7, $\langle x_k p_{k'} \rangle = 0 \ \forall \ k$. It follows that operators of the type $(x_1 p_2)/\sqrt{2}$ and $(p_1 x_2)/\sqrt{2}$ would reduce to variances $(\langle x_1^2 \rangle + \langle p_1^2 \rangle)/2 = (\langle x_1^2 \rangle + \langle p_2^2 \rangle)/2$, which are always above vacuum. These redefinitions are therefore not necessary here.

If $V_{ac} = 0$, there can be no correlation between the currents observed at two different frequencies, meaning $\langle \hat{I}(\pm f_1) \hat{I}(\pm f_2) \rangle = 0$. However, an excitation of finite amplitude at frequency $f_0 = f_1 + f_2$ induces correlations $\langle \hat{I}(f_1) \hat{I}(f_2) \rangle = \langle \hat{I}(-f_1) \hat{I}(-f_2) \rangle = \mathcal{X}_{m=1}(f_1, f_0)$. It follows that $\langle X_1 X_2 \rangle = -\langle P_1 P_2 \rangle = \mathcal{X}$. The proper choice of frequencies is crucial to optimizing this value. First, quantum effects are prominent at high frequencies, i.e. $f_{1,2} \gg k_B T/h$. Here, these frequencies are limited by our 4–8 GHz cryogenic electronics. Moreover, as can be seen on the left side of Fig. 6, a lower $\Delta f = f_0/2 - f_1 = f_2 - f_0/2$ leads to a greater value for $\mathcal{X} = \langle X_1 X_2 \rangle$. However, f_1 and f_2 must be sufficiently far apart for mixers to distinguish between them; for the experiments presented here, $\Delta f = 0.25$ GHz. The right panel of Fig. 6 can be used to ascertain the ideal V_{ac} for observing two-mode squeezing. Based on that analysis, the optimal values can be observed at $V_{dc} \simeq hf_1/e$, $V_{ac} \simeq 1.5hf_1/e$ using $f_1 = 7$ GHz, $f_2 = 7.5$ GHz and $f_0 = 14.5$ GHz.

Experimental Results

Figs. 3(b) and (c), which correspond to $\Delta\mathcal{P}(X_1, P_2)$ and $\Delta\mathcal{P}(P_1, X_2)$, are almost invariant by rotation. This means that the corresponding probability $\mathcal{P}(X, P)$ depends only on $X^2 + P^2$. As an immediate consequence,




FIG. 6: (top) $\langle x_1 x_2 \rangle$ as a function of $\Delta f/f_0$ with $f_1 = f_0/2 - \Delta f$, $f_2 = f_0/2 + \Delta f$ at $V_{dc} = hf_1/e$, $V_{ac} = 1.5hf_1/e$, $T = 0$ K where $x_{1,2}$ is given by Eq. 6. (bottom) Search for optimal V_{ac} for two-mode squeezing observation (lowest point under 0.5) at $V_{dc} = hf_1/e$. / (haut) $\langle x_1 x_2 \rangle$ en fonction de $\Delta f/f_0$ avec $f_1 = f_0/2 - \Delta f$, $f_2 = f_0/2 + \Delta f$ à $V_{dc} = hf_1/e$, $V_{ac} = 1.5hf_1/e$, $T = 0$ K, où $x_{1,2}$ est donné par Eq. 6. (bas) Détermination de la tension ac optimale pour l'observation de la compression d'états à deux modes maximale (point le plus bas sous 0,5) à $V_{dc} = hf_1/e$.

one expects $\langle X_1 P_2 \rangle = \langle P_1 X_2 \rangle = 0$: X_1 and P_2 are uncorrelated, as are X_2 and P_1 . In contrast, Figs. 3(a) and (d), which show respectively $\Delta\mathcal{P}(X_1, X_2)$ and $\Delta\mathcal{P}(P_1, P_2)$, are not invariant by rotation: the axes $X_1 = \pm X_2$ ($P_1 = \pm P_2$) are singular: for a given value of X_1 (P_1) the probability $\Delta\mathcal{P}(X_1, X_2)$ ($\Delta\mathcal{P}(P_1, P_2)$) is either maximal or minimal for $X_2 = X_1$ ($P_2 = -P_1$). This demonstrates the possibility of observing correlations or anticorrelations between X_1 and X_2 on one hand and between P_1 and P_2 on the other. Data in Figs. 3(a) through (d) correspond to two frequencies f_1 and f_2 that sum up to f_0 , all three frequencies being phase coherent. If this condition is not fulfilled, no correlations are observed between any two quadratures, giving plots similar to Figs. 3(b) or (c) (data not shown). The effect of frequencies on




FIG. 7: (colour online) Quadrature correlators of EM field at frequencies $f_1 = 7$ GHz, $f_2 = 7.5$ GHz generated by a 70Ω tunnel junction at 18 mK under $f_0 = 14.5$ GHz, $V_{ac} = 37 \mu V$ microwave excitation. Symbols represent experimental data, with symbol sizes representing experimental uncertainty. Lines are theoretical expectations based on Eq. 4. /Corrélateurs de quadrature du champ électromagnétique aux fréquences $f_1 = 7$ GHz, $f_2 = 7.5$ GHz généré par une jonction tunnel de 70Ω à 18 mK sous excitation micro-ondes de $f_0 = 14,5$ GHz, $V_{ac} = 37 \mu V$. Les symboles représentent les résultats expérimentaux et les lignes, les attentes théoriques de l'Éq. 4. Reprinted figure with permission from J.-C. Forgues, C. Lupien, B. Reulet, Physical Review Letters, 114, 130403, 2015. ©2015 by the American Physical Society.

correlations between power fluctuations has been thoroughly studied in Refs. [33, 34]. To be more quantitative, we show on Fig. 7 the $\langle AB \rangle$ correlators as a function of the dc bias voltage for a fixed V_{ac} . Clearly, $\langle X_1 P_2 \rangle = \langle P_1 X_2 \rangle = 0$ while $\langle X_1 X_2 \rangle = -\langle P_1 P_2 \rangle$ is non-zero for $V_{dc} \neq 0$. These results are presented in temperature units (K), using the usual unit conversion $T_{noise} = RS/2k_B$ for the measured noise spectral density S of a conductor of resistance R . Using Eq. 4, this can be represented theoretically by $-\langle P_1 P_2 \rangle = \langle X_1 X_2 \rangle = \mathcal{X}$, which once again fits the experimental data very well.

Once again, at $k_B T \ll hf_{1,2}$ and $V_{dc} = V_{ac} = 0$, the noise emitted by the junction is equivalent to vacuum fluctuations, which can be seen experimentally as a plateau at low V_{dc} on Fig. 8 in $\langle \hat{x}_k^2 \rangle$ vs V_{dc} at $V_{ac} = 0$ (black circles). This vacuum noise level is outlined by a dashed line, the shaded area indicating less-than-vacuum noise levels.

Fig. 8 illustrates clearly the lack of single-mode squeezing for $f_0 = f_1 + f_2$ when $f_1 \neq f_2$ since $\langle \hat{x}_1^2 \rangle > 1/2$ [50]. It is obvious on Fig. 8 that $\langle \hat{u}^2 \rangle \simeq \langle \hat{v}^2 \rangle$ goes below $1/2$ for certain values of V_{dc} . This proves that two-mode squeezing can be observed in electronic shot noise. Once again, theoretical expectations can be plotted using Eq. 4. These are shown as lines along experimental results after normalization on Fig. 8.

The optimal observed squeezing corresponds to $\langle \hat{u}^2 \rangle =$

0.32 ± 0.05 , $\langle \hat{v}^2 \rangle = 0.31 \pm 0.05$, i.e. 2.1 dB below vacuum, versus the theoretical expectation of $\langle \hat{u}^2 \rangle = \langle \hat{v}^2 \rangle = 0.33$. This minimum is observed at $V_{dc} \simeq 30 \mu\text{V} \simeq hf_{1,2}/e$. All data are in good agreement with theoretical predictions, plotted as full black lines on Fig. 8 with $\langle \hat{x}_k^2 \rangle = \langle \hat{p}_k^2 \rangle = \tilde{S}(f_k)$, the photo-assisted shot noise given by Eq. 5, using $m = 1$ [17]. Curves for $\langle \hat{u}^2 \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{v}^2 \rangle$ follow the same behaviour with reversed dc bias, showing minima of 0.35 ± 0.05 and 0.41 ± 0.05 or 1.6 dB at $V_{dc} \simeq -30 \mu\text{V} \simeq -hf_{1,2}/e$. The latter data was omitted from Fig. 8 for simplicity.

Entanglement

While the presence of two-mode squeezing shows the existence of strong correlations between quadratures of the electromagnetic field at different frequencies, this is not enough to prove the existence of entanglement. A criterion certifying the inseparability of the two-modes, and thus entanglement between them, is given in terms of the quantity $\delta = \langle \hat{u}^2 \rangle + \langle \hat{v}^2 \rangle$. In the case of a classical field, this must obey $\delta > 1$ [9]. This is equivalent to a Bell-like inequality for continuous variables. As we reported in Fig. 8, we observe $\delta = 0.6 \pm 0.1$. Thus, photons emitted at frequencies f_1 and f_2 are not only correlated but also form EPR pairs suitable for quantum information processing with continuous variables[3].

Two-mode quadrature-squeezed states are usually characterized by their covariance matrix. Following the notations of Ref. [45], our experiment corresponds to $n = 2 \langle \hat{x}_{1,2}^2 \rangle \simeq 2 \langle \hat{p}_{1,2}^2 \rangle$, $k = 2 \langle \hat{x}_1 \hat{x}_2 \rangle \simeq -2 \langle \hat{p}_1 \hat{p}_2 \rangle$ so that $\delta = n - k$. Equilibrium at $T = 0$ corresponds to $n = 1$ and $k = 0$. Our observed optimal squeezing corresponds to $n = 1.3 \pm 0.1$ and $k = 0.52 \pm 0.05$. From these numbers, one can calculate all the statistical properties that characterize the electromagnetic field generated by the junction. In particular, we find a purity of $\mu = 0.82$ (as defined in Ref. [46]), which would be 0 for a non-entangled state and 1 for a pure (maximally entangled) state. While in our experiment, the entangled photons are not spatially separated, this could easily be achieved using a diplexer, which can separate frequency bands without dissipation.

CONCLUSION

We have provided the first experimental demonstration of the existence of non-classical properties in the electromagnetic field radiated by a normal, non-superconducting conductor. More particularly, we have shown that the microwave radiation generated by a tunnel junction can exhibit squeezing and entanglement. Since the mechanism involved here is the ability to modulate the electron shot noise by an ac voltage, it is clear




FIG. 8: (colour online) Rescaled (unitless) variances of the EM field generated by a 70Ω tunnel junction at 18 mK under 14.5 GHz, $V_{ac} = 37 \mu\text{V}$ microwave excitation and without excitation, obtained using signal quadratures at frequencies $f_1 = 7 \text{ GHz}$, $f_2 = 7.5 \text{ GHz}$. Symbols represent experimental data, with symbol sizes representing experimental uncertainty, and lines are theoretical expectations based on Eq. 4. The shaded area showcases the less-than-vacuum noise levels./Variances remises à l'échelle (sans unités) du champ électromagnétique généré par une jonction tunnel de 70Ω à 18 mK sous excitation micro-ondes de $f_0 = 14,5 \text{ GHz}$, $V_{ac} = 37 \mu\text{V}$ et sans excitation, obtenues à partir des quadratures de signal aux fréquences $f_1 = 7 \text{ GHz}$, $f_2 = 7,5 \text{ GHz}$. Les symboles représentent les résultats expérimentaux et les lignes, les attentes théoriques de l'Éq. 4. La zone en dégradé indique les niveaux de bruit inférieurs au niveau du vide. Reprinted figure with permission from J.-C. Forgues, C. Lupien, B. Reulet, Physical Review Letters, 114, 130403, 2015. ©2015 by the American Physical Society.

that these possibilities are offered by any coherent conductor and are not specific to the tunnel junction. Since the shot noise involves the Fano factor, it is probable that a diffusive wire or a QPC should generate less squeezing and entanglement, while a Normal-Superconductor structure might be an interesting system to explore.

We have excited the junction with a sine wave. It is probable that the use of a more clever periodic function with several harmonics [31] might improve the degree of squeezing and entanglement of the emitted radiation. Moreover, the correlations induced by the ac excitation are extremely broadband, as can be seen on Fig. 6 (left). Thus, instead of considering, as we did here, the electromagnetic field, which is well defined in the frequency domain, it might be interesting to consider modes that embrace the full spectrum of squeezed radiation with adequate weighting, or in other words to consider the squeezing/entanglement in time domain.

In this paper, we have presented evidence of the quantum aspect of the electromagnetic field generated by a tunnel junction by measuring its quadratures. Other properties, like its photon statistics, should convey measurable quantum features. Indeed, the existence of correlations between photons of different frequencies at the

single photon level have been reported [34], associated with the existence of two-mode squeezing. Single-mode squeezing is also known to be related to the emission of photon pairs, which should show up in the photon statistics. Thanks to a recently developed link between the statistics of photons and that of quadratures of the field [47], and despite the absence of available photon counters in the microwave domains, the shot noise of photon pairs generated by electron shot noise has been observed [48]. Further developments in the generation and detection of non-classical electromagnetic fields generated by quantum, but non superconducting, conductors will for sure appear in the forthcoming years.

* Electronic address: jean-charles.forgues@usherbrooke.ca

† Electronic address: christian.lupien@usherbrooke.ca

‡ Electronic address: bertrand.reulet@usherbrooke.ca

- [1] G. Gasse, C. Lupien, and B. Reulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 136601 (2013), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.136601>.
- [2] J.-C. Forgues, C. Lupien, and B. Reulet, Physical Review Letters **114**, 130403 (2015), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.130403>.
- [3] S. L. Braunstein and P. van Loock, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 513 (2005), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.513>.
- [4] C. Weedbrook, S. Pirandola, R. García-Patrón, N. J. Cerf, T. C. Ralph, J. H. Shapiro, and S. Lloyd, Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 621 (2012), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.84.621>.
- [5] C. M. Caves, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 1693 (1981), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.1693>.
- [6] R. Loudon, *The quantum theory of light* (Oxford university press, Oxford, 2000), 3rd ed.
- [7] C. Gardiner and P. Zoller, *Quantum Noise, 3rd edition* (Springer, New York, 2004).
- [8] H. M. Wiseman and G. J. Milburn, *Quantum Measurement and Control* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2009).
- [9] L.-M. Duan, G. Giedke, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2722 (2000), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.2722>.
- [10] R. E. Slusher, L. W. Hollberg, B. Yurke, J. C. Mertz, and J. F. Valley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2409 (1985), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.2409>.
- [11] B. Yurke, P. G. Kaminsky, R. E. Miller, E. A. Whittaker, A. D. Smith, A. H. Silver, and R. W. Simon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 764 (1988), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.764>.
- [12] R. Movshovich, B. Yurke, P. G. Kaminsky, A. D. Smith, A. H. Silver, R. W. Simon, and M. V. Schneider, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1419 (1990), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.1419>.
- [13] P. D. Nation, J. R. Johansson, M. P. Blencowe, and F. Nori, Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 1 (2012), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.84.1>.
- [14] E. Flurin, N. Roch, F. Mallet, M. H. Devoret, and B. Huard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 183901 (2012), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.183901>.
- [15] Y. Blanter and M. Büttiker, Physics Reports **336**, 1 (2000), ISSN 0370-1573, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037015739>.
- [16] Y. Nazarov, *Quantum Noise in Mesoscopic Physics*, vol. II/97 (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003).
- [17] G. B. Lesovik and L. S. Levitov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 538 (1994), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.538>.
- [18] R. J. Schoelkopf, A. A. Kozhevnikov, D. E. Prober, and M. J. Rooks, Physical Review Letters **80**, 2437 (1998), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.2437>.
- [19] A. A. Kozhevnikov, R. J. Schoelkopf, and D. E. Prober, Physical Review Letters **84**, 3398 (2000), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.3398>.
- [20] J. Gabelli and B. Reulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 026601 (2008), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.026601>.
- [21] J. Gabelli and B. Reulet (2008), arXiv:0801.1432.
- [22] J. B. Johnson, Physical Reviews **32**, 97 (1928).
- [23] H. Nyquist, Phys. Rev. **32**, 110 (1928), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.32.110>.
- [24] B. M. Oliver, Proceedings of the IEEE **53**, 436 (1965), ISSN 0018-9219.
- [25] H. B. Callen and T. A. Welton, Phys. Rev. **83**, 34 (1951), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.83.34>.
- [26] C. W. J. Beenakker and H. Schomerus, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 700 (2001), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.700>.
- [27] C. W. J. Beenakker and H. Schomerus, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 096801 (2004), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.096801>.
- [28] A. V. Lebedev, G. B. Lesovik, and G. Blatter, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 155421 (2010), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.155421>.
- [29] A. L. Grimsmo, F. Qassemi, B. Reulet, and A. Blais, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 043602 (2016), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.043602>.
- [30] U. C. Mendes and C. Mora, New Journal of Physics **17**, 113014 (2015), URL <http://stacks.iop.org/1367-2630/17/i=11/a=113014>.
- [31] J. Gabelli and B. Reulet, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 075403 (2013), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.075403>.
- [32] J. Gabelli and B. Reulet, Proc. SPIE, Fluctuations and Noise in Materials **6600-25**, 66000T (2007).
- [33] J.-C. Forgues, F. B. Sane, S. Blanchard, L. Spietz, C. Lupien, and B. Reulet, Sci. Rep. **3**, 2869 (2013), URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/srep02869>.
- [34] J.-C. Forgues, C. Lupien, and B. Reulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 043602 (2014), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.043602>.
- [35] C. Eichler, D. Bozyigit, C. Lang, M. Baur, L. Steffen, J. M. Fink, S. Filipp, and A. Wallraff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 113601 (2011), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.113601>.
- [36] F. Nguyen, E. Zakka-Bajjani, R. W. Simmonds, and J. Aumentado, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 163602 (2012), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.163602>.
- [37] A. Bednorz, C. Bruder, B. Reulet, and W. Belzig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 250404 (2013), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.250404>.

- [38] Reprinted excerpt with permission from J.-C. Forgues, C. Lupien, B. Reulet, Physical Review Letters, 114, 130403, 2015. ©2015 by the American Physical Society.
- [39] E. P. Menzel, F. Deppe, M. Mariani, M. A. Araque Caballero, A. Baust, T. Niemczyk, E. Hoffmann, A. Marx, E. Solano, and R. Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 100401 (2010), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.100401>.
- [40] M. Mariani, E. Menzel, F. Deppe, M. Araque Caballero, A. Baust, T. Niemczyk, E. Hoffmann, E. Solano, A. Marx, and R. Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 133601 (2010), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.133601>.
- [41] E. Menzel, R. Di Candia, F. Deppe, P. Eder, L. Zhong, M. Ihmig, M. Haeberlein, A. Baust, E. Hoffmann, D. Ballester, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 250502 (2012), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.250502>.
- [42] D. Bozyigit, C. Lang, L. Steffen, J. M. Fink, C. Eichler, M. Baur, R. Bianchetti, P. J. Leek, S. Filipp, M. P. da Silva, et al., Nat Phys **7**, 154 (2011), ISSN 1745-2473, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1845>.
- [43] C. Eichler, D. Bozyigit, C. Lang, L. Steffen, J. Fink, and A. Wallraff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 220503 (2011), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.220503>.
- [44] Reprinted excerpt with permission from G. Gasse, C. Lupien, B. Reulet, Physical Review Letters, 111, 136601, 2013. ©2015 by the American Physical Society.
- [45] G. Giedke, M. M. Wolf, O. Krüger, R. F. Werner, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 107901 (2003), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.107901>.
- [46] J. DiGuglielmo, B. Hage, A. Franzen, J. Fiurášek, and R. Schnabel, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 012323 (2007), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.012323>.
- [47] S. Virally, J. O. Simoneau, C. Lupien, and B. Reulet, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 043813 (2016), URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.043813>.
- [48] J. O. Simoneau, S. Virally, C. Lupien, and B. Reulet, (unpublished) (2016).
- [49] subscripts have been removed to simplify notation
- [50] as well as $\langle \hat{x}_2^2 \rangle = \langle \hat{p}_1^2 \rangle = \langle \hat{p}_2^2 \rangle > 1/2$, data not shown